



# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

## CLAIMS BOARD

500 WEST TEMPLE STREET  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

### MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

Rocky A. Armfield  
Chief Executive Office  
John F. Krattli  
Office of the County Counsel  
John Naimo  
Auditor-Controller

## NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING

The County of Los Angeles Claims Board will hold a special meeting on Friday, October 23, 2009, at 8:00 a.m., in the Executive Conference Room, 648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration, Los Angeles, California.

## A G E N D A

1. Call to Order.
2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.
3. Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9).

- a. Arleen Griffith v. County of Los Angeles  
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. MC 018 450

**This lawsuit arises from injuries received in a motor vehicle accident involving an employee of the Department of Agricultural Commissioner/Weights and Measures; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$2,853,029.38.**

### [See Supporting Documents](#)

- b. William Watson v. County of Los Angeles  
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. MC 019 749

**This lawsuit arises from injuries received in a motor vehicle accident involving an employee of the Department of Animal Care and Control; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$50,000.**

### [See Supporting Documents](#)

- c. Southern California Edison Company v. County of Los Angeles  
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. SC 098 030

**This lawsuit seeks compensation for damage to an underground power line caused by the excavation of a trench by the Department of Public Works; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$35,000.**

**[See Supporting Documents](#)**

- d. Ara and Georgette Boyajian v. County of Los Angeles  
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 379 922

**This lawsuit seeks compensation for damage caused by a sewer back-up; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$492,545.99.**

**[See Supporting Documents](#)**

- e. Lechuza Villas West, LLC v. County of Los Angeles, et al.  
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS 112 115

**This lawsuit concerns the construction by the Department of Public Works of a rock wall on private property; settlement is recommended in the amount of \$70,000 and the removal of the rock wall.**

**[See Supporting Documents](#)**

4. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.
5. Adjournment.

## CASE SUMMARY

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME	Arleen Griffith v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
CASE NUMBER	MC018450
COURT	Los Angeles Superior Court
DATE FILED	8/20/2007
COUNTY DEPARTMENT	Agricultural Commissioner/Weights and Measures
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	\$ 2,853,029.38
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	R. Rex Parris
COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY	Brian T. Chu
NATURE OF CASE	<p>On January 2, 2007, at approximately 12:25 p.m. Arleen Griffith was traveling approximately 70 miles per hour on eastbound Avenue A approaching 70<sup>th</sup> Street West, City of Lancaster. At the same time, a County pick-up truck was driven by a County employee in the westbound direction on Avenue A. The County driver slowed to approximately 10 miles per hour before starting a left turn on 70<sup>th</sup> Street West. He did not see Ms. Griffith in the opposite lane coming towards him, which resulted in a near head-on collision.</p>

As a result of the collision, Ms. Griffith sustained comminuted fracture of her right tibia (10mm) and right fibula (5mm), fractured right ankle, and lacerations to the right leg. She underwent two corrective surgeries for the fractures and anticipates a third ankle fusion surgery. She also claims post-traumatic stress disorder, and continued leg pain. Because of her weakened condition, she claims that she is susceptible to falling and in fact fell in January 2008 resulting in a miscarriage.

Claimant filed suit against the County and its employee contending motor vehicle negligence and vicarious liability.

Due to the inherent risks and uncertainties involved in a trial, the potential liability and potential exposure to an adverse verdict, the County proceeded with settlement negotiations and was eventually able to develop this recommended settlement with the plaintiff.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE	\$	180,946
-----------------------------	----	---------

PAID COSTS, TO DATE	\$	123,192
---------------------	----	---------

## Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	January 2, 2007
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Plaintiff was driving eastbound on Avenue A in Lancaster, CA when her vehicle collided with the Defendant, a County employee who was making a left turn from westbound Avenue A onto southbound 70 <sup>th</sup> Street West.

1. Briefly describe the root cause of the claim/lawsuit:

Left turn with insufficient clearance to oncoming vehicle.

2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:  
(Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

### **Discipline**

Employee suspended August 2007 for one week

### **Pre-Driving Training and Evaluation**

Before any department employee can independently operate a County vehicle, he or she must attend a driver safety training and receive a documented, behind the wheel evaluation from a supervisor.

**Due Date-** ongoing

**Responsible Parties** – Human resources Manager and Bureau Chiefs

### **Ongoing Driving Training and Evaluation**

The department will provide mandatory bi-annual safety driver training courses.



No less than annually, supervisors shall ride with each of their subordinates to observe his/her driving and identify any weaknesses and needs for training.

**Due Date-** ongoing

**Responsible Parties** - Department's Managers

3. State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your department or other County departments:  
(If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch for assistance)

- ☐ Potentially has County-wide implications.
- ☐ Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e., all human services, all safety departments, or one or more other departments).
- ☒ Does not appear to have County-wide or other department implications.

Signature: (Risk Management Coordinator) 	Date: 9-28-09
Signature: (Department Head) 	Date: 09-29-09

# CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

## 1. General Information

Date CAP completed:	June 5, 2008
Department Involved:	Agricultural Commissioner/ Weights and Measures

## 2. Incident/Event Specific Information

Date of incident/event	January 2, 2007
Location of incident/event	Avenue A & 70 <sup>th</sup> Street West Lancaster
Claim Adjuster	Sylvia Hernandez, Carl Warren
If claim is in litigation, please complete the following:	
Attorney	Brian T. Chu, Principal Deputy County Counsel County represented by Monroy, Averbuck & Gysler
• Phone Number	(213) 974-1956

## 3. Incident/Event Description

Individuals Involved	Arleen Griffith, Claimant  Michael B. Dvorak, Defendant (Former County of Los Angeles Employee)
Nature of incident/event	Vehicle collision
Provide a brief written description of the incident/event	Plaintiff was driving eastbound on Avenue A in Lancaster, CA when her vehicle collided with the Defendant, a County employee who was making a left turn from westbound Avenue A onto southbound 70 <sup>th</sup> Street West.

## 4. Corrective Action Plan Problem Statement

<i>Provide a written nature of the incident/event problem statement:</i>  A Department employee operating a Department-owned vehicle failed to exercise reasonable care and judgment resulting in a serious vehicle accident.
---

## 5. Root Cause Analysis

Root Cause analysis tool used	N/A
Incident/event root causes	N/A

#### 6. Corrective Action Plan Steps

Task number	001
Task name	Pre-Driving Training and Evaluation
System issue	Departmental Policy/Procedure Manual
Schedule start date	July 1, 2007
Schedule completion date	Ongoing task
Responsible person(s)	Human Resources Manager, Bureau Chiefs
Task Description	Before any department employee can independently operate a County vehicle, he or she must attend a driver safety training and receive a documented, behind the wheel evaluation from a supervisor.


Task number	002
Task name	Ongoing Driving Training and Evaluation
System issue	Departmental Policy/Procedure Manual
Schedule start date	July 1, 2007
Schedule completion date	Ongoing task
Responsible person(s)	Supervisors, Deputies and Bureau Chiefs
Task Description	<p>The department will provide mandatory bi-annual safety driver training courses.</p> <p>No less than annually, supervisors shall ride with each of their subordinates to observe his/her driving and identify any weaknesses and needs for training.</p>



Task number	003
Task name	Discipline
System issue	
Start date	August 27, 2007 – August 29, 2007
Schedule completion date	Completed
Responsible person(s)	Department Head
Task Description	Employee suspended for three working days.

#### 7. Review and Authorization

The department has reviewed the incident/event investigation and Corrective Action Plan.

Review and authorization steps	Signature	Date
Document reviewed by Risk Management Staff		
Document approved/reviewed by Department Head		07-20-09

## CASE SUMMARY

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME	William Watson v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
CASE NUMBER	MC019749
COURT	Los Angeles Superior Court
DATE FILED	10/15/2008
COUNTY DEPARTMENT	Department of Animal Care & Control
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	\$ 50,000
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	Thomas P. Cacciatore, Esq.
COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY	Brian T. Chu Principal Deputy County Counsel
NATURE OF CASE	While driving eastbound on Avenue I, near the intersection of 50 <sup>th</sup> St. West. in the City of Lancaster, William Watson was approaching a vehicle driven in the opposite lane by an employee of the Department of Animal Care and Control. Suddenly, the westbound County driver swerved from his side of the road, across the center divider, and collided head-on into Mr. Watson's pick-up truck. A traffic collision investigation concluded that the County driver was driving with a blood alcohol level in excess of 0.08%.

As a result of the collision, Mr. Watson received soft tissue injuries to his low back, neck, left hip and right elbow. He also incurred out-of-pocket expenses for the loss of his vehicle.

Mr. Watson contends that the County's driver failed to use adequate care in the operation of the County's vehicle.

Due to the inherent risks and uncertainties involved in a trial, the potential liability and potential exposure to an adverse verdict, the County proceeded with settlement negotiations and was eventually able to develop this recommended settlement with the plaintiff.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE	\$	13,729
PAID COSTS, TO DATE	\$	1,105

## Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	January 11, 2008
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	County employee was returning to the shelter from a lunch break, operating a County vehicle, when his vehicle swerved into the path of the Plaintiff's vehicle, striking it head-on.

1. Briefly describe the root cause of the claim/lawsuit:

Employee negligently operated the County vehicle while intoxicated.

2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:  
(Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

The County and the Department had all applicable policies and procedures in place to prohibit the behavior demonstrated by the Employee. The County employee pled no contest to a charge of Driving Under the Influence. He was discharged from Department employment for violating nine (9) County and Department policies, plus Civil Service Rule 18.031.

3. State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your department or other County departments:  
(If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch for assistance)

- ☐ Potentially has County-wide implications.
- ☐ Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e., all human services, all safety departments, or one or more other departments).
- ☒ Does not appear to have County-wide or other department implications.

Signature: (Risk Management Coordinator) 	Date:  09/22/2009
Signature: (Department Head) 	Date:  09/22/2009

## CASE SUMMARY

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME	Southern California Edison v. County of Los Angeles
CASE NUMBER	SC098030
COURT	Los Angeles Superior Court
DATE FILED	4/30/2008
COUNTY DEPARTMENT	Public Works - Branch 4 - Flood Maintenance
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	\$ 35,000
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	Carla M. Blanc, Esq.
COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY	Brian T. Chu, Principal Deputy County Counsel
NATURE OF CASE	On 5/21/07, employees of the Department of Public Works conducted excavation activities at Ballona Creek and Overland Avenue in Culver City to install water sprinkler lines in preparation for a new Earthday site. During the excavation, the county crew encountered a large boulder approximately two feet below the surface. When the crew attempted to move the boulder with heavy equipment, the movement caused a short circuit between electrical lines under the boulder and extinguished electricity to a nearby building. The crew mistakenly believed that the electrical line was deeper than actual.

Southern California Edison Company, as owners of the electrical line, filed suit against the County contending that its crew was negligent in the excavation and caused damages to the underground line and associated hardware.

Due to the inherent risks and uncertainties involved in a trial, the potential liability and potential exposure to an adverse verdict, the County proceeded with settlement negotiations and was eventually able to develop this recommended settlement with the plaintiff.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE	\$	10,342
-----------------------------	----	--------

PAID COSTS, TO DATE	\$	844
---------------------	----	-----



## Summary Corrective Action Plan

### County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Claim/Lawsuit:	Southern California Edison Company
Date of incident/event:	May 21, 2007
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	In preparation for an Earthday event at Ballona Creek, Public Works personnel contacted DigAlert to have the location of all underground utilities marked in the field prior to beginning work at the location. The Southern California Edison line was subsequently marked. However, Public Works damaged the electrical line while using a backhoe to remove a large boulder from the excavation site.

1. Briefly describe the root cause of the claim/lawsuit:

Prior to commencing the Earthday project, which consisted of site preparation for the installation of an irrigation system and the planting of vegetation, Public Works personnel failed to verify the exact location of Edison's underground electrical line.

2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:

(Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)



By May 30, 2009, all personnel in Flood Maintenance Division engaged in excavation work will be reminded/instructed by memorandum to expose all underground utilities, through hand digging, at the project site prior to commencing excavation work.

County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works  
Summary Corrective Action Plan

---

3. State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your department or other County departments:  
(If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch for assistance)

- ☐ Potentially has County-wide implications.
- ☐ Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e., all human services, all safety departments, or one or more other departments).
- ☒ Does not appear to have County-wide or other department implications.

Signature: (Risk Management Coordinator)  Pat Proano	Date: 5/6/09
Signature: (Director)  Gail Farber (Director)	Date: 5-14-09



## CASE SUMMARY

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME	Ara and Georgette Boyajian v. County of Los Angeles
CASE NUMBER	BC379922
COURT	Los Angeles Superior Court
DATE FILED	10/30/2007
COUNTY DEPARTMENT	Public Works - Sewer Maintenance District
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	\$ 492,545.99
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	Joel Castro, Esq.
COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY	Brian T. Chu  Principal Deputy County Counsel
NATURE OF CASE	On April 8, 2005, Ara and Georgette Boyajian returned home from an extended trip to find the first floor of their home covered by sewer water. A sewer maintenance crew from the County's Department of Public Works responded to the scene and observed a high waste water level at a nearby manhole. The crew rodded a portion of the sewer main line and cleared a blockage caused by tree roots. The Boyajians were later advised to install a backflow valve to prevent future occurrences.

As a result of the sewer back-up, walls, flooring, associated baseboards, cabinetry and personal property were damaged in the dining room, living room, kitchen, family room and ground level bathroom and bedroom.

The Boyajians filed a lawsuit against the County alleging inverse condemnation, private and public nuisance, negligence and trespass.

Due to the inherent risks and uncertainties involved in a trial, the potential liability and potential exposure to an adverse verdict, the County proceeded with settlement negotiations and was eventually able to develop this recommended settlement with the plaintiff.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE	\$	88,666
-----------------------------	----	--------

PAID COSTS, TO DATE	\$	7,571
---------------------	----	-------



## Summary Corrective Action Plan

### County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Claim:	Ara Boyajian
Date of incident/event:	April 8, 2005
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	<p>This is a sewage back-up that occurred at a residence located at 6650 Locklenna Lane in the City of Rancho Palos Verdes. The waste water overflowed into the plaintiffs' residence damaging the interior of their home as well as their personal property.</p> <p>Public Works responded and the crew confirmed the existence of a main line blockage created by tree roots between manhole numbers 103 and 104. The crew rodded the sewer line and broke down a heavy root stoppage. The sewer line was left flowing normally.</p>

1. Briefly describe the root cause of the claim/lawsuit:

The cause of the sewer backup was a tree root blockage of the main line sewer. Public Works provided semi-annual inspections of the main line. The last inspection was carried out on September 16, 2004.

2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:  
(Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

This segment of the main line was placed on a 180 day rodding schedule to prevent future blockages. The mainline will also continue to be inspected under the semi-annual inspection program.

3. State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your department or other County departments:  
(If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch for assistance)

- ☐ Potentially has County-wide implications.
- ☐ Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e., all human services, all safety departments, or one or more other departments).
- ☒ Does not appear to have County-wide or other department implications.

Signature: (Risk Management Coordinator)	Date:
Pat Proano	3/12/09
Signature: (Director)	Date:
Gail Farber	4-1-09

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS  
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN**

**CLAIM OF:** Ara and Georgette Boyajian

**INCIDENT DATE:** April 8, 2005

**INCIDENT LOCATION:** 6650 Locklenna Lane, City of Rancho Palos Verdes

**RISK ISSUE:**

The County may be liable in Inverse Condemnation if a public improvement, operating as intended, is a substantial factor in causing damage to private property.

**INVESTIGATIVE REVIEW:**

The sewer line at this location is owned by the City of Rancho Palos Verdes and maintained by the County as part of the Consolidated Sewer Maintenance District (CSMD).

On April 8, 2005, the main sewer line located at 6650 Locklenna Lane in the City of Rancho Palos Verdes became blocked, which caused wastewater to backup and overflow into the plaintiffs' residence damaging the interior of their home, as well as their personal property.

Sewer Maintenance Division was notified and a Sewer Maintenance crew responded to the location. Upon arrival, the crew observed that the wastewater level in Manhole No. 104 in Locklenna Lane was high, but not overflowing. The crew rodded the sewer line between Manhole Nos. 103 and 104, and broke down a heavy root stoppage. The sewer line was left flowing normally.

There have been no reported incidents of prior sewage backups at this location.

**POLICY ISSUES:**

The Consolidated Sewer Maintenance District's maintenance program consists of regular semi-annual inspections of the sewer system and appurtenances, combined with periodic cleaning, repair, and related work as necessary to keep the collection system operating as designed.


**CORRECTIVE ACTION:**

A copy of Section 710 of the Los Angeles County Plumbing Code was delivered to 6650 Locklenna Lane, informing the property owner(s) that a backflow valve should be installed at the residence and maintained in a safe and sanitary operating condition.


CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN - Boyagian  
Page 2

To prevent future blockages, the segment of mainline was placed on a 180-day rodding schedule. The mainline will remain on this schedule until it is no longer necessary as determined by maintenance personnel and will continue to be inspected under the semi-annual inspection program.

Reviewed & Recommended

 3/24/09  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Diego Cadena Date  
Deputy Director

Approved

 3.29.2009  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Patrick V. DeChellis Date  
Deputy Director

## CASE SUMMARY

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME	Lechuza Villas West, LLC v. County of Los Angeles
CASE NUMBER	BS 112 115
COURT	Los Angeles Superior Court
DATE FILED	11/27/07
COUNTY DEPARTMENT	Public Works
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	\$ 70,000
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	Fred Gaines, Esq. Gaines & Stacey LLP
COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY	Warren R. Wellen Principal Deputy County Counsel  Frederick W. Pfaeffle Principal Deputy County Counsel
NATURE OF CASE	In December 2006, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 29 ("District") placed a rock wall on a slope on Lechuza Villas, West, LLC ("Lechuza") undeveloped, beachfront Malibu property. The District built the wall as an emergency measure following movement of a large landslide that ruptured the water mains under Pacific Coast Highway and created a sinkhole in the roadway. The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (the District's governing body) approved the work based on the opinion of the

District's engineers that the conditions constituted an impending peril to persons and property. The water mains supply water to the Malibu region.

Lechuza filed a lawsuit seeking removal of the rock wall and money damages.

The parties dispute whether the District had permission and legal justification for the construction of the wall on Lechuza's property.

Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, the Department of Public Works and County Counsel propose a full and final settlement in the amount of \$70,000.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE	\$	115,780
-----------------------------	----	---------

PAID COSTS, TO DATE	\$	1,847
---------------------	----	-------





## Summary Corrective Action Plan

### County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works

The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Claim:	<b>LECHUZA VILLAS WEST, LLC V. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</b>
Date of incident/event:	December 2, 2006
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	<p>A 30-inch-diameter water transmission main owned by the Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 29, Malibu (District) broke at 19652 Pacific Coast Highway due to on-going land movement in the area. The water discharged from the broken water main created a large sinkhole in Pacific Coast Highway, approximately 60 feet long, 45 feet wide, and 30 feet deep. The sinkhole destroyed the two southbound lanes of Pacific Coast Highway and also washed out three 40-foot segments of 10-inch-diameter backup water mains in Pacific Coast Highway. The water mains supply water to two-thirds of the District's customers. Pacific Coast Highway is a primary artery of vehicle traffic in the Malibu region and provides crucial access for private, government, and emergency traffic.</p> <p>The District backfilled and placed riprap on a slope on the plaintiff's undeveloped, beachfront property, with his written consent, to provide necessary lateral support for the water mains. The work was approved and directed by the Board of Supervisors under Government Code Section 866 as an emergency measure to prevent impending peril to persons and property.</p>

1. Briefly describe the root cause of the claim/lawsuit:



The root cause of the lawsuit was the movement of a large, deep-seated landslide known as the Las Tunas/La Grande Bulge Slide that ruptured the District's 30-inch-diameter water transmission main. The water discharged from the broken water main created a large sinkhole and required the District to backfill and place riprap on a slope on the plaintiff's private property to provide lateral support for the repaired water main. The plaintiff alleges that his consent was temporary in nature and did not preclude the District's need to compensate the plaintiff for use of his property.

2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:  
(Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

By Fall 2010, the District will complete the installation of water pressure monitoring devices and electronically-operated valves along the water transmission main east and west of the incident site. We have completed the installation of the easterly pressure device and valve and are preparing for installation of the westerly pressure device and valve. The pressure monitoring devices will be used to detect water main breaks more quickly, and the electronically-operated valves will be closed remotely to stop the discharge of water from a ruptured water main. These actions will reduce the potential damage to public and private property that may result from future land movement in this area.

3. State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your department or other County departments:  
(If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch for assistance)

- ☐ Potentially has County-wide implications.
- ☐ Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e., all human services, all safety departments, or one or more other departments).
- ☒ Does not appear to have County-wide or other department implications.

Signature: (Risk Management Coordinator) 	Date: 6/11/09
Signature: (Director) 	Date: 6-15-09.